

Government investment in the arts, such as music and theatre, is a waste of money. Governments must invest this money in public services instead.

To what extent do you agree with this statement?

Some say that funding by governments in the arts isn't a worthwhile investment and they must spend budgets in public services, but this cannot be ~~an~~ acceptable by all people in ~~a~~ society and some oppose this.

Although the arts like music, theatre or movie theatres are ~~a~~ part of people's spiritual needs and can improve the health of society, ~~but they are it's~~ not ~~on in~~ top of people's needs and some other necessities like public transportation or ~~the~~ environment have more importance. However, in modern societies governments cannot deny citizens' human emotions and must invest in ~~them.it.~~

~~From in an~~ other point of view, a portion of people are working in this field and it's their career, then, as ~~a~~ part of society ~~where which~~ a percentage of ~~the~~ turnover ~~exists, is-~~ ~~there~~ governments should spend a ~~proportion /~~ percent of ~~the~~ total budget to ~~get it organized.juice-up it.~~ It can be a support to provide ~~a~~ place to hold programs or ~~a~~ help in public transportation to people who want to go there or in other infrastructures which need a huge investment if the governments don't support, or ~~alternatively~~ they can support ~~the~~ private sectors to invest in this field and develop it.

Governments that have no freedom of speech in their countries try to invest in this field widely not for people's satisfaction and nor respect to a human sense, but for reach~~ing to~~ their goals and to divert people's concentration to subjects like religion, ~~holy~~ defense or nationality. These aren't regular cases and aren't durable conditions.

Consequently, governments should plan to invest ~~in on~~ all levels of social~~ety~~ necessities and they should invest in the arts as a part of these needs or support private sectors to invest in it.